## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

CODY GOLDTOOTH,

Plaintiff,

8:20CV113

VS.

THE WESTERN SUGAR COOPERATIVE,

**Defendant and Third-Party Plaintiff,** 

VS.

DSI MECHANICAL, LLC AND ZURICH AMERICAN INSURANCE COMPANY,

Third-Party Defendants.

SECOND AMENDED CASE PROGRESSION ORDER

This matter comes before the Court on the Unopposed Motion to Extend Case Progression Order Deadlines (<u>Filing No. 75</u>). After review of the motion, the Court finds good cause to grant the requested extensions. Accordingly,

**IT IS ORDERED** that the Unopposed Motion to Extend Case Progression Order Deadlines (Filing No. 75) is granted, and the amended case progression order is amended as follows:

1) The deadline for completing written discovery under Rules 33, 34, 36, and 45 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure is **November 29, 2021**. Motions to compel written discovery under Rules 33, 34, 36, and 45 must be filed by **December 13, 2021**.

**Note:** A motion to compel, to quash, or for a disputed protective order shall not be filed without first contacting the chambers of the undersigned magistrate judge on or before the motion to compel deadline to set a conference to discuss the parties' dispute, and after being granted leave to do so by the Court.

The status conference scheduled for October 15, 2021, is cancelled. The status conference to discuss case progression and the parties' interest in settlement is rescheduled before the undersigned magistrate judge on **December 17, 2021,** at **11:30 a.m.** by telephone. Counsel shall use the conferencing instructions assigned to this case to participate in the conference.

3) The deadlines for identifying expert witnesses expected to testify at the trial, (both retained experts, (Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2)(B)), and non-retained experts, (Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2)(C)), are:

For the plaintiff:
For defendant/third-party plaintiff:
Plaintiff's rebuttal:
Third-Party defendants:

December 31, 2021

January 31, 2022

February 11, 2022

February 28, 2022

4) The deadlines for completing expert disclosures<sup>1</sup> for all experts expected to testify at trial, (both retained experts, (Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2)(B)), and non-retained experts, (Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2)(C)), are:

For the plaintiff:
For defendant/third-party plaintiff:
Plaintiff's rebuttal:
Third-Party defendants:

January 31, 2022
February 28, 2022
March 11, 2022
March 28, 2022

- The planning conference scheduled for February 11, 2022, is cancelled. The planning conference to discuss case progression, dispositive motions, the parties' interest in settlement, and the trial and pretrial conference settings is rescheduled before the undersigned magistrate judge on **April 8, 2022**, at **11:00 a.m.** by telephone. Counsel shall use the conferencing instructions assigned to this case to participate in the conference.
- 6) The deposition deadline, including but not limited to depositions for oral testimony only under Rule 45, is **April 11, 2022**.

The maximum number of depositions that may be taken by the plaintiffs as a group and the defendants as a group is **twenty-five** (25).

- 7) The deadline for filing motions to dismiss and motions for summary judgment is **May 9, 2022**.
- 8) The deadline for filing motions to exclude testimony on *Daubert* and related grounds is **May 9, 2022**.
- 9) The parties shall comply with all other stipulations and agreements recited in their Rule 26(f) planning report that are not inconsistent with this order.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> While treating medical and mental health care providers are generally not considered "specially retained experts," not all their opinions relate to the care and treatment of a patient. Their opinion testimony is limited to what is stated within their treatment documentation. As to each such expert, any opinions which are not stated within that expert's treatment records and reports must be separately and timely disclosed.

10) All requests for changes of deadlines or settings established herein shall be directed to the undersigned magistrate judge. Such requests will not be considered absent a showing of due diligence in the timely progression of this case and the recent development of circumstances, unanticipated prior to the filing of the motion, which require that additional time be allowed.

Dated this 24<sup>th</sup> day of August, 2021.

BY THE COURT:

s/Michael D. Nelson United States Magistrate Judge